

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE                            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/737,334              | 12/16/2003                             | Richard A. Craig     | 50005-167            | 7550             |  |
| 32215                   | 7590 06/08/2006                        |                      | EXAMINER             |                  |  |
| KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP |  |                      | PALABRICA, RICARDO J |                  |  |
|                         | MON STREET, SUITE 16<br>D TRADE CENTER | 500                  | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| PORTLAND,               |  |                      | 3663                 |                  |  |

**DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/737,334      | CRAIG ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| Rick Palabrica  | 3663         |  |

|   | Rick Palabrica  | 3663   |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add  | ress                                      |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP  | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL  | LOWANCE.   |   |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:  | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c   | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C               | ice, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)            |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE             | g date of the final rejecti                                | on.                                       |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi        | ate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th                                      |   |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>  |   | ducing or simplifying                                      | the issues for                            |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  |   | ected claims.  |   |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co   | mpliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).                               |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |   | timely filed amendme                                       | ent canceling the                         |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   |   | ll be entered and an e                                     | explanation of                            |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>29</u> .<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-20,22,25-34,36,37 and 39-46</u> .<br>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>21,23,24,35 and</u>  | <u>38</u> .   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>  | ut before or on the date of filing a N<br>id sufficient reasons why the affida  | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>vit or other evidence is | ot be entered<br>s necessary and          |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal</li> </ol>   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fa<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(              | ils to provide a<br>1).                   |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by  |   |  | nce because:                              |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | lo(s)  |   |  |  |  |
|   |   | Ralabica   | _   |  |  |  |
|   |   | R. J. Palabrica, Ph<br>Primary Examiner                    | .U.                                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |   |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The issues raised by the new amendments to the claims (e.g., claims 16 and 29) would involve more than cursory consideration and/or search, i.e.,they require new interpretation, new search and/or review of the applied prior art.